OUTER APPROXIMATION METHOD FOR CONSTRAINED COMPOSITE FIXED POINT PROBLEMS INVOLVING LIPSCHITZ PSEUDO CONTRACTIVE OPERATORS *

Luis M. Briceño-Arias

UPMC Université Paris 06 Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions – UMR 7598 Équipe Combinatoire et Optimisation – UMR 7090 75005 Paris, France (lbriceno@math.jussieu.fr)

Abstract

We propose a method for solving constrained fixed point problems involving compositions of Lipschitz pseudo contractive and firmly nonexpansive operators in Hilbert spaces. Each iteration of the method uses separate evaluations of these operators and an outer approximation given by the projection onto a closed half-space containing the constraint set. Its convergence is established and applications to monotone inclusion splitting and constrained equilibrium problems are demonstrated.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 65K05; Secondary 47H05, 47H10, 47J05, 65K15, 90C25.

Keywords: firmly nonexpansive operator, fixed point problems, splitting algorithm, equilibrium problem, monotone inclusion, monotone operator, pseudo contractive operator.

^{*}Contact author: Luis M. Briceño-Arias, lbriceno@math.jussieu.fr, phone: +33 1 4427 8540, fax: +33 1 4427 2724. This work was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche under grant ANR-08-BLAN-0294-02.

1 Introduction

The problem under consideration in this paper is the following.

Problem 1.1 Let \mathcal{H} be a real Hilbert space, fix $\varepsilon \in]0,1[$, and let $(\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $]0,1-\varepsilon[$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T_n \colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ be a firmly nonexpansive operator, let $R_n \colon \operatorname{dom} R_n \subset \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ be a pseudo contraction such that $(\operatorname{Id} -R_n)$ is β_n -Lipschitz continuous, and let S be a closed convex subset of \mathcal{H} . The problem is to

find
$$x \in S$$
 such that $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})$ $T_n R_n x = x$. (1.1)

The set of solutions to (1.1) is denoted by Z.

As will be seen subsequently, this formulation models a broad range of problems in nonlinear analysis. Methods can be found in the literature to solve Problem 1.1 in special cases. Thus, when $S = \mathcal{H}$, $R_n \equiv \operatorname{Id}$, and $Z \neq \emptyset$, algorithms can be found in [1, 2], and when $S = \mathcal{H}$, $T_n \equiv \operatorname{Id}$, and $R_n \equiv R$, where R is a Lipschitz pseudo contraction from a convex set C into itself, methods can be found in [3, 4, 5, 6]. Since the composition between a firmly nonexpansive operator and a Lipschitz pseudo contraction is not a pseudo contraction in general, Problem 1.1 can not be solved by the methods mentioned above. The purpose of the present paper is to provide an algorithm for solving Problem 1.1. It involves four elementary steps at each iteration n: the first three steps are successive computations of operators R_n , T_n , and R_n , and the last step is an outer approximation of the constraint. The latter is given by the projection onto a half-space containing S. In Section 2 we propose our algorithm and we prove its weak convergence to a solution to Problem 1.1. In Section 3 we study an application to monotone inclusions under convex constraints, and obtain an extension of a result of [7]. Finally, in Section 4, we study an application to equilibrium problems with convex constraints.

Notation 1.2 Throughout this paper \mathcal{H} denotes a real Hilbert space, $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle$ denotes its scalar product, and $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the associated norm. For a single-valued operator R: dom $R \subset \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$, the set of fixed points is Fix $R = \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid x = Rx\}$, R is χ -Lipschitz continuous for some $\chi \in]0, +\infty[$, if it satisfies, for every x and y in \mathcal{H} , $\|Rx - Ry\| \leq \chi \|x - y\|$, R is pseudo contractive if it satisfies

$$(\forall x \in \text{dom } R)(\forall y \in \text{dom } R) \quad ||Rx - Ry||^2 \le ||x - y||^2 + ||(\text{Id } -R)x - (\text{Id } -R)y||^2, \quad (1.2)$$

R is firmly nonexpansive if it satisfies

$$(\forall x \in \text{dom } R)(\forall y \in \text{dom } R) \quad ||Rx - Ry||^2 \le ||x - y||^2 - ||(\text{Id } -R)x - (\text{Id } -R)y||^2, \quad (1.3)$$

or equivalently,

$$(\forall x \in \text{dom } R)(\forall y \in \text{dom } R) \quad \langle x - y \mid Rx - Ry \rangle \ge ||Rx - Ry||^2, \tag{1.4}$$

and R is χ -cocoercive if χR is firmly nonexpansive.

2 Algorithm and convergence

At each iteration $n \in \mathbb{N}$, our method for solving Problem 1.1 involves an outer approximation to S and separate computations of the operators T_n and R_n . Each approximation is computed by the projection onto a closed affine half-space containing S, and errors on the computation of the operators are modeled by the sequences $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, and $(c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Algorithm 2.1 Let $(T_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(R_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, and S be as in Problem 1.1. For every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, let $Q_n\colon\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{H}$ be the projector operator onto a closed affine half-space containing S, let $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, and $(c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be sequences in \mathcal{H} such that $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|a_n\|<+\infty$, $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|b_n\|<+\infty$, and $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|c_n\|<+\infty$. Moreover, let $\varepsilon\in]0,1[$, let $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $[\varepsilon,1]$, let $x_0\in \mathrm{dom}\,R_0$, and consider the following routine.

$$y_{n} = R_{n}x_{n} + a_{n}$$

$$q_{n} = T_{n}y_{n} + b_{n}$$
If $q_{n} \notin \text{dom } R_{n} \text{ stop.}$
Else
$$\begin{cases} r_{n} = R_{n}q_{n} + c_{n} \\ z_{n} = x_{n} - y_{n} + r_{n} \\ x_{n+1} = x_{n} + \lambda_{n}(Q_{n}z_{n} - x_{n}) \end{cases}$$
If $x_{n+1} \notin \text{dom } R_{n+1} \text{ stop.}$
Else $n = n + 1$.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 2.2 Suppose that $Z \neq \emptyset$ in Problem 1.1 and that Algorithm 2.1 generates infinite orbits $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$(\forall x \in \mathcal{H}) \begin{cases} x_{k_n} \rightharpoonup x \\ x_n - T_n R_n x_n \to 0 \\ z_n - x_n \to 0 \\ z_n - Q_n z_n \to 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow x \in Z.$$
 (2.2)

Then $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to a solution to Problem 1.1.

Proof. Set

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \widetilde{y}_n = R_n x_n, \quad \widetilde{q}_n = T_n \widetilde{y}_n, \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{r}_n = R_n \widetilde{q}_n,$$
 (2.3)

fix $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that, since $z \in S$, we have

$$z = P_S z = Q_n z = T_n R_n z = R_n z + (\text{Id} - R_n) T_n R_n z.$$
 (2.4)

In addition, it follows from [8, Theorem 1] that $(\operatorname{Id} -R_n)$ is monotone, which yields $\langle (\operatorname{Id} -R_n)\widetilde{q}_n - (\operatorname{Id} -R_n)z \mid \widetilde{q}_n - z \rangle \geq 0$. Therefore, we deduce from (2.4), (2.3), and the

firm nonexpansivity of T_n that

$$2\langle \widetilde{q}_{n}-z \mid (\operatorname{Id}-R_{n})x_{n}-(\operatorname{Id}-R_{n})\widetilde{q}_{n}\rangle = -2\langle \widetilde{q}_{n}-z \mid (\operatorname{Id}-R_{n})\widetilde{q}_{n}-(\operatorname{Id}-R_{n})z)\rangle$$

$$+2\langle \widetilde{q}_{n}-z \mid x_{n}-z\rangle - 2\langle \widetilde{q}_{n}-z \mid R_{n}x_{n}-R_{n}z\rangle$$

$$\leq 2\langle \widetilde{q}_{n}-z \mid x_{n}-z\rangle - 2\langle T_{n}\widetilde{y}_{n}-T_{n}R_{n}z \mid \widetilde{y}_{n}-R_{n}z\rangle$$

$$\leq 2\langle \widetilde{q}_{n}-z \mid x_{n}-z\rangle - 2\|T_{n}\widetilde{y}_{n}-T_{n}R_{n}z\|^{2}$$

$$= (2\langle \widetilde{q}_{n}-z \mid x_{n}-z\rangle - \|\widetilde{q}_{n}-z\|^{2}) - \|\widetilde{q}_{n}-z\|^{2}$$

$$\leq \|x_{n}-z\|^{2} - \|\widetilde{q}_{n}-x_{n}\|^{2} - \|\widetilde{q}_{n}-z\|^{2}. \tag{2.5}$$

Hence, since $\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \beta_k^2 \le (1-\varepsilon)^2 \le 1-\varepsilon$, it follows from (2.3) and the β_n -Lipschitz continuity of (Id $-R_n$) that

$$||x_{n} - \widetilde{y}_{n} + \widetilde{r}_{n} - z||^{2} = ||\widetilde{q}_{n} - z + (x_{n} - \widetilde{y}_{n}) - (\widetilde{q}_{n} - \widetilde{r}_{n})||^{2}$$

$$= ||\widetilde{q}_{n} - z + (\operatorname{Id} - R_{n})x_{n} - (\operatorname{Id} - R_{n})\widetilde{q}_{n}||^{2}$$

$$= ||\widetilde{q}_{n} - z||^{2} + ||(\operatorname{Id} - R_{n})x_{n} - (\operatorname{Id} - R_{n})\widetilde{q}_{n}||^{2}$$

$$+ 2\langle \widetilde{q}_{n} - z | (\operatorname{Id} - R_{n})x_{n} - (\operatorname{Id} - R_{n})\widetilde{q}_{n}\rangle$$

$$\leq ||\widetilde{q}_{n} - z||^{2} + \beta_{n}^{2}||\widetilde{q}_{n} - x_{n}||^{2}$$

$$+ 2\langle \widetilde{q}_{n} - z | (\operatorname{Id} - R_{n})x_{n} - (\operatorname{Id} - R_{n})\widetilde{q}_{n}\rangle$$

$$\leq ||x_{n} - z||^{2} - (1 - \beta_{n}^{2})||\widetilde{q}_{n} - x_{n}||^{2}$$

$$\leq ||x_{n} - z||^{2} - \varepsilon||\widetilde{q}_{n} - x_{n}||^{2}, \qquad (2.6)$$

which yields

$$||x_n - \widetilde{y}_n + \widetilde{r}_n - z|| \le ||x_n - z||. \tag{2.7}$$

We also derive from (2.1) and (2.3) the following inequalities. First, $||y_n - \widetilde{y}_n|| = ||a_n||$, and since T_n is nonexpansive, we obtain

$$||q_n - \widetilde{q}_n|| = ||T_n y_n + b_n - T_n \widetilde{y}_n|| \le ||\widetilde{y}_n - y_n|| + ||b_n|| = ||a_n|| + ||b_n||.$$
(2.8)

In turn, it follows from the β_n -Lipschitz continuity of (Id $-R_n$) that

$$||r_{n} - \widetilde{r}_{n}|| = ||R_{n}q_{n} + c_{n} - R_{n}\widetilde{q}_{n}||$$

$$\leq ||(\operatorname{Id} - R_{n})\widetilde{q}_{n} - (\operatorname{Id} - R_{n})q_{n}|| + ||q_{n} - \widetilde{q}_{n}|| + ||c_{n}||$$

$$\leq (1 + \beta_{n})||q_{n} - \widetilde{q}_{n}|| + ||c_{n}||$$

$$\leq 2(||a_{n}|| + ||b_{n}||) + ||c_{n}||.$$
(2.9)

Altogether, if we set

$$e_n = \widetilde{y}_n - y_n + r_n - \widetilde{r}_n, \tag{2.10}$$

we have

$$||e_n|| = ||\widetilde{y}_n - y_n + r_n - \widetilde{r}_n|| \le ||y_n - \widetilde{y}_n|| + ||r_n - \widetilde{r}_n|| \le 3||a_n|| + 2||b_n|| + ||c_n||, \tag{2.11}$$

and therefore $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} ||e_k|| < +\infty$. Hence, from (2.1), (2.4), the nonexpansivity of Q_n , and (2.7) we get

$$||x_{n+1} - z|| = ||(1 - \lambda_n)(x_n - z) + \lambda_n(Q_n z_n - Q_n z)||$$

$$\leq (1 - \lambda_n)||x_n - z|| + \lambda_n||Q_n z_n - Q_n z||$$

$$\leq (1 - \lambda_n)||x_n - z|| + \lambda_n||z_n - z||$$

$$\leq (1 - \lambda_n)||x_n - z|| + \lambda_n(||x_n - \widetilde{y}_n + \widetilde{r}_n - z|| + ||e_n||)$$

$$\leq ||x_n - z|| + ||e_n||,$$
(2.12)

and we conclude from [9, Lemma 3.1] that

$$\xi = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} ||x_k - z|| < +\infty. \tag{2.13}$$

Thus, from the convexity of $\|\cdot\|^2$, the firm nonexpansivity of Q_n , (2.4), (2.1), (2.10), (2.6), and (2.7) we have

$$||x_{n+1} - z||^{2} \leq (1 - \lambda_{n})||x_{n} - z||^{2} + \lambda_{n}||Q_{n}z_{n} - Q_{n}z||^{2}$$

$$\leq (1 - \lambda_{n})||x_{n} - z||^{2} + \lambda_{n}(||z_{n} - z||^{2} - ||z_{n} - Q_{n}z_{n}||^{2})$$

$$\leq (1 - \lambda_{n})||x_{n} - z||^{2} + \lambda_{n}(||x_{n} - \widetilde{y}_{n} + \widetilde{r}_{n} - z||^{2} + ||e_{n}||^{2}$$

$$+ 2||x_{n} - \widetilde{y}_{n} + \widetilde{r}_{n} - z|| ||e_{n}|| - ||z_{n} - Q_{n}z_{n}||^{2})$$

$$\leq (1 - \lambda_{n})||x_{n} - z||^{2} + \lambda_{n}(||x_{n} - z||^{2} - \varepsilon||\widetilde{q}_{n} - x_{n}||^{2}$$

$$+ ||e_{n}||^{2} + 2||x_{n} - z|| ||e_{n}|| - ||z_{n} - Q_{n}z_{n}||^{2})$$

$$\leq ||x_{n} - z||^{2} - \varepsilon^{2}||\widetilde{q}_{n} - x_{n}||^{2} - \varepsilon||z_{n} - Q_{n}z_{n}||^{2} + \eta_{n}, \qquad (2.14)$$

where $\eta_n = ||e_n||^2 + 2\xi ||e_n||$ satisfies $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \eta_k < +\infty$. Hence, from [9, Lemma 3.1] we deduce

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} ||T_k R_k x_k - x_k||^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} ||\widetilde{q}_k - x_k||^2 < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} ||z_k - Q_k z_k||^2 < +\infty, \quad (2.15)$$

and therefore $T_n R_n x_n - x_n = \widetilde{q}_n - x_n \to 0$ and $z_n - Q_n z_n \to 0$. Thus, it follows from (2.1) and the nonexpansivity of T_n that

$$||z_{n} - x_{n}|| = ||r_{n} - y_{n}||$$

$$= ||\widetilde{r}_{n} - \widetilde{y}_{n} + e_{n}||$$

$$\leq ||T_{n}\widetilde{q}_{n} - T_{n}x_{n}|| + ||e_{n}||$$

$$\leq ||\widetilde{q}_{n} - x_{n}|| + ||e_{n}||$$

$$\to 0.$$
(2.16)

Altogether, since (2.2) asserts that all the weak limits of the sequence $(x_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ are in Z, the result follows from [9, Theorem 3.8]. \square

3 Monotone inclusions with convex constraints

We consider the problem

find
$$x \in S$$
 such that $0 \in Ax + Bx$, (3.1)

where $A: \mathcal{H} \to 2^{\mathcal{H}}$ and $B: \operatorname{dom} B \subset \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ are maximally monotone, and $S \subset \mathcal{H}$ is nonempty, closed, and convex. When B is cocoercive, $\operatorname{dom} B = \mathcal{H}$, and $S = \mathcal{H}$, (3.1) models wide variety of problems in nonlinear analysis, and it can be solved by the forward-backward splitting method [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. However, in several applications these assumptions are very restrictive. If the cocoercivity of B is relaxed to Lipschitz continuity, (3.1) can be solved by the modified forward-backward splitting in [7]. We propose an extension of this method for solving (3.1) with a finite number of convex constraints. In addition, our method allows for errors in the computations of the operators involved.

Notation 3.1 For a set-valued operator $A \colon \mathcal{H} \to 2^{\mathcal{H}}$, $\operatorname{dom} A = \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid Ax \neq \varnothing\}$ is the domain of A, $\operatorname{zer} A = \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid 0 \in Ax\}$ is its set of zeros, and $\operatorname{gra} A = \{(x,u) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \mid u \in Ax\}$ is its graph. The operator A is monotone if it satisfies, for every (x,u) and (y,v) in $\operatorname{gra} A$, $\langle x-y \mid u-v \rangle \geq 0$, and it is maximally monotone if its graph is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone operator acting on \mathcal{H} . In this case, the resolvent of A, $J_A = (\operatorname{Id} + A)^{-1}$, is well defined, single-valued, $\operatorname{dom} J_A = \mathcal{H}$, and it is firmly nonexpansive. For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the lower level set at height α of a function $f \colon \mathcal{H} \to]-\infty, +\infty]$ is the closed convex set $\operatorname{lev}_{\leq \alpha} f = \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid f(x) \leq \alpha\}$ and the subdifferential of f is the operator

$$\partial f \colon \mathcal{H} \to 2^{\mathcal{H}} \colon x \mapsto \left\{ u \in \mathcal{H} \mid (\forall y \in \mathcal{H}) \quad \langle y - x \mid u \rangle + f(x) \le f(y) \right\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Now let C be a nonempty subset of \mathcal{H} . Then int C is the interior of C and if C is nonempty, convex, and closed, then P_C denotes the projector operator onto C, which, for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfies $||x - P_C x|| = \min_{y \in C} ||x - y|| = d_C(x)$, where d_C denotes the distance function of C. For further background in monotone operator theory and convex analysis see [15].

Problem 3.2 Let $A: \mathcal{H} \to 2^{\mathcal{H}}$ and $B: \operatorname{dom} B \subset \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ be two maximally monotone operators such that $\operatorname{dom} A \subset \operatorname{dom} B$ and suppose that A+B is maximally monotone (see [15, Corollary 24.4] for some sufficient conditions). For every $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, let $f_i: \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ be lower semicontinuous and convex, denote by $S = \operatorname{lev}_{\leq 0} f_1 \cap \cdots \cap \operatorname{lev}_{\leq 0} f_m \neq \emptyset$, and assume that $S \subset \operatorname{dom} B$ and that B is χ -Lipschitz continuous on $S \cup \operatorname{dom} A$, for some $\chi \in]0, +\infty[$. The problem is to

find
$$x \in \mathcal{H}$$
 such that
$$\begin{cases} x \in \operatorname{zer}(A+B) \\ f_1(x) \leq 0 \\ \vdots \\ f_m(x) \leq 0. \end{cases}$$
 (3.3)

Problem 3.2 models various applications to economics, traffic theory, Nash equilibrium problems, and network equilibrium problems among others (see [16, 17, 18] and the references therein).

In the particular case when m=1, $f_1=d_C$, and $C\subset\mathcal{H}$ is a nonempty closed convex set, an algorithm for solving Problem 3.2 is proposed in [7], without considering errors in the computations and assuming that P_C is easily computable (see also [19] for an approach using enlargements of maximally monotone operators). However, since P_S is not computable in general, Problem 3.2 can not be solved by this method. We propose an algorithm for solving Problem 3.2 in which the constraints $f_1 \leq 0, \ldots, f_m \leq 0$ are activated independently

and linearized, and where errors in the computation of the operators involved are permitted. For the implementation of this method we use the subgradient projector with respect to $f \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{H})$, which is defined by

$$G \colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \colon x \mapsto \begin{cases} x - \frac{f(x)}{\|u\|^2} u, & \text{if } f(x) > 0; \\ x, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
 (3.4)

where $u \in \partial f(x)$, and the function i: $\mathbb{N} \to \{1, \dots, m\}$: $n \mapsto 1 + \text{rem}(n-1, m)$, where $\text{rem}(\cdot, m)$ is the remainder function of division by m.

Algorithm 3.3 For every $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, denote by $G_i : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ the subgradient projector with respect to f_i . Let $(e_{1,n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(e_{2,n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $(e_{3,n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be sequences in \mathcal{H} such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|e_{1,n}\| < +\infty$, $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|e_{2,n}\| < +\infty$, and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|e_{3,n}\| < +\infty$. Let $\varepsilon \in]0, 1/(\chi + 1)[$, let $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $[\varepsilon, (1-\varepsilon)/\chi]$, let $x_0 \in \text{dom } B$, and let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence generated by the following routine.

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \begin{cases} y_n = x_n - \gamma_n (Bx_n + e_{1,n}) \\ q_n = J_{\gamma_n A} (y_n + e_{2,n}) \\ r_n = q_n - \gamma_n (Bq_n + e_{3,n}) \\ z_n = x_n - y_n + r_n \\ x_{n+1} = G_{\mathbf{i}(n)} z_n. \end{cases}$$
(3.5)

Remark 3.4 In Algorithm 3.3, the sequences $(e_{1,n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(e_{3,n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ represent errors in the computation of the operator B. In addition, we suppose that the resolvents $(J_{\gamma_n A})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ can be computed approximatively by solving, for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, the perturbed inclusion

find
$$q \in \mathcal{H}$$
 such that $y_n - q + e_{2,n} \in \gamma_n A q$. (3.6)

Proposition 3.5 Suppose that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{ran} G_{i} \subset \operatorname{dom} B \quad and \quad S \cap \operatorname{zer}(A+B) \neq \varnothing. \tag{3.7}$$

Then Algorithm 3.3 generates an infinite orbit $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ which converges weakly to a solution to Problem 3.2.

Proof. Set

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})$$
 $\beta_n = \gamma_n \chi$, $T_n = J_{\gamma_n A}$, and $R_n = \text{Id } -\gamma_n B$. (3.8)

Note that $(\beta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $]0,1-\varepsilon]$ and, for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, T_n is firmly nonexpansive and $\mathrm{Id}-R_n=\gamma_n B$ is β_n -Lipschitz-continuous and monotone. Hence, it follows from $[8,Theorem\ 1]$ that the operators $(R_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are pseudo contractive. In addition, note that $x\in\mathrm{zer}(A+B)\Leftrightarrow (\forall n\in\mathbb{N})\ x-\gamma_n Bx\in x+\gamma_n Ax\Leftrightarrow (\forall n\in\mathbb{N})\ x\in\mathrm{Fix}\ T_n R_n$. Altogether, we deduce that Problem 3.2 is a particular case of Problem 1.1 and

$$Z = S \cap \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{Fix} T_n R_n = S \cap \operatorname{zer}(A + B) \neq \emptyset.$$
 (3.9)

Now let us prove that Algorithm 3.3 is a particular case of Algorithm 2.1. Set

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \begin{cases} a_n = -\gamma_n e_{1,n} \\ b_n = J_{\gamma_n A}(y_n + e_{2,n}) - J_{\gamma_n A} y_n \\ c_n = -\gamma_n e_{3,n} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \lambda_n = 1 \\ Q_n = G_{i(n)}. \end{cases}$$
(3.10)

Then, since $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \gamma_n < \chi^{-1}$, we have $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|a_n\| < +\infty$ and $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|c_n\| < +\infty$. Moreover, from the nonexpansivity of $(J_{\gamma_n A})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, we deduce that $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|b_n\| < +\infty$, and, for every $x\in\mathcal{H}$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$, Q_nx is the projection onto the closed affine half-space $\{y\in\mathcal{H}\mid \langle x-y\mid u\rangle\geq f_{\mathrm{i}(n)}(x)\}$, for some $u\in\partial f_{\mathrm{i}(n)}(x)$, which contains $\mathrm{lev}_{\leq 0}\,f_{\mathrm{i}(n)}\supset S$. On the other hand, $x_0\in\mathrm{dom}\,B$ and since, for every $i\in\{1,\ldots,m\}$, $\mathrm{ran}\,G_i\subset\mathrm{dom}\,B$, it follows from (3.5) that, for every $n\in\mathbb{N}\setminus\{0\}$, $x_n\in\mathrm{dom}\,B$. In addition, $q_n=J_{\gamma_n A}(y_n+e_{2,n})\in\mathrm{dom}\,A\subset\mathrm{dom}\,B$. Altogether, from (3.8) and (3.10), we deduce that Algorithm 3.3 is a particular case of Algorithm 2.1 and that it generates an infinite orbit $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Let us prove that condition (2.2) holds. Suppose that $x_{k_n} \rightharpoonup x$, $x_n - T_n R_n x_n \to 0$, $z_n - x_n \to 0$, $z_n - Q_n z_n \to 0$, and, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $p_n = T_n R_n x_n$. Hence, $p_{k_n} \rightharpoonup x$ and from (3.8) we obtain, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$p_{n} = T_{n}R_{n}x_{n} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x_{n} - \gamma_{n}Bx_{n} \in p_{n} + \gamma_{n}Ap_{n}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{\gamma_{n}}(x_{n} - p_{n}) - Bx_{n} \in Ap_{n}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{\gamma_{n}}(x_{n} - p_{n}) + Bp_{n} - Bx_{n} \in (A + B)p_{n}. \tag{3.11}$$

Now, since A+B is maximally monotone, from [15, Proposition 20.33], its graph is sequentially weak-strong closed. Therefore, since $x_{k_n}-p_{k_n}\to 0$, $\|Bp_{k_n}-Bx_{k_n}\|\leq \chi\|x_{k_n}-p_{k_n}\|\to 0$, $\gamma_{k_n}\geq \varepsilon>0$, $p_{k_n}\rightharpoonup x$, we conclude from (3.11) that $x\in \operatorname{zer}(A+B)$. Now let us prove that, for every $i\in\{1,\ldots,m\}$, $f_i(x)\leq 0$. Fix $i\in\{1,\ldots,m\}$ and, for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, let $j_n\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $k_n\leq j_n\leq k_n+m$ and $\mathrm{i}(j_n)=i$. We deduce from $z_n-x_n\to 0$ and $z_n-Q_nz_n\to 0$ that, for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $\|x_{n+1}-x_n\|=\|Q_nz_n-x_n\|\leq\|Q_nz_n-z_n\|+\|z_n-x_n\|\to 0$. Therefore,

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \|x_{j_n} - x_{k_n}\| \le \sum_{\ell=k_n}^{j_n - 1} \|x_{\ell+1} - x_{\ell}\| \le m \max_{k_n \le \ell \le k_n + m} \|x_{\ell+1} - x_{\ell}\| \to 0$$
 (3.12)

and hence it follows from $z_{j_n} - x_{j_n} \to 0$ and $x_{k_n} \rightharpoonup x$ that $z_{j_n} \rightharpoonup x$. Note that, from (3.10) and (3.4) we have, for some $u_{j_n} \in \partial f_i(z_{j_n})$,

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad Q_{j_n} z_{j_n} - z_{j_n} = \begin{cases} -\frac{f_i(z_{j_n})}{\|u_{j_n}\|^2} u_{j_n}, & \text{if } f_i(z_{j_n}) > 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(3.13)

and, since $||Q_{j_n}z_{j_n}-z_{j_n}|| \to 0$, we deduce that $\max\{0,f_i(z_{j_n})\} \to 0$. Thus, it follows from $z_{j_n} \rightharpoonup x$ that $f_i(x) \leq \underline{\lim} f_i(z_{j_n}) \leq \underline{\lim} \max\{0,f_i(z_{j_n})\} = 0$, and hence $x \in \text{lev}_{\leq 0} f_i$. We conclude that $x \in Z$ and the result follows from Theorem 2.2. \square

Remark 3.6 Let us consider the particular case of Theorem 3.5 obtained when $e_{1,n} \equiv e_{2,n} \equiv e_{3,n} \equiv 0$, m = 1, and $f_1 = d_C$, where $C \subset \mathcal{H}$ is a nonempty closed convex set. Then, since $G_1 = P_C$, Algorithm 3.3 reduces to the method proposed in [7]. Moreover, since S = C, note that the assumption ran $G_1 \subset \text{dom } B$ is equivalent to $S \subset \text{dom } B$, which was already assumed in Problem 3.2.

4 Equilibrium problems with convex constraints

We consider the problem

find
$$x \in C$$
 such that $(\forall y \in C)$ $F(x,y) \ge 0$, (4.1)

where C and F satisfy the following assumption.

Assumption 4.1 C is a nonempty closed convex subset of \mathcal{H} and $F: \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following.

- (i) $(\forall x \in C)$ F(x,x) = 0.
- (ii) $(\forall (x,y) \in C^2)$ $F(x,y) + F(y,x) \le 0$.
- (iii) For every x in C, $F(x,\cdot)\colon C\to\mathbb{R}$ is lower semicontinuous and convex.

(iv)
$$(\forall (x, y, z) \in C^3)$$
 $\overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} F((1 - \varepsilon)x + \varepsilon z, y) \le F(x, y).$

We are interested in solving a more general problem than (4.1), which involves a finite or a countable infinite number of convex constraints. It will be presented after the following preliminaries.

Notation 4.2 The resolvent of $F: \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is the set valued operator

$$J_F \colon \mathcal{H} \to 2^C \colon x \mapsto \left\{ z \in C \mid (\forall y \in C) \quad F(z, y) + \langle z - x \mid y - z \rangle \ge 0 \right\}$$
 (4.2)

and, for every $\delta \in]0, +\infty[$, the δ -resolvent of $F \colon C^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is the set valued operator

$$J_F^{\delta} \colon \mathcal{H} \to 2^C \colon x \mapsto \{ z \in C \mid (\forall y \in C) \quad F(z, y) + \langle z - x \mid y - z \rangle \ge -\delta \}. \tag{4.3}$$

Lemma 4.3 Suppose that $F: \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies Assumption 4.1. Then the following hold.

- (i) dom $J_F = \mathcal{H}$.
- (ii) J_F is single-valued and firmly nonexpansive.
- (iii) $(\forall x \in \mathcal{H})(\forall \delta \in]0, +\infty[)$ $J_F x \in J_F^{\delta} x.$
- (iv) $(\forall x \in \mathcal{H})(\forall \delta \in]0, +\infty[)$ $J_F^{\delta}x \subset B(J_Fx; \sqrt{\delta}).$

Proof. (i)&(ii): [20, Lemma 2.12]. (iii): This follows from (ii), (4.2), and (4.3). (iv): Fix $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\delta \in]0, +\infty[$, and let $w \in J_F^{\delta}x$. We deduce from (4.2) and (4.3) that $F(J_Fx, w) + \langle J_Fx - x \mid w - J_Fx \rangle \geq 0$ and $F(w, J_Fx) + \langle w - x \mid J_Fx - w \rangle \geq -\delta$, respectively. Adding both inequalities we obtain $F(w, J_Fx) + F(J_Fx, w) - ||J_Fx - w||^2 \geq -\delta$. Hence, it follows from Assumption (ii) that $||J_Fx - w||^2 \leq \delta$, which yields the result. \square

Problem 4.4 Let F be a function satisfying Assumption 4.1. Let $(S_i)_{i\in I}$ be a countable (finite or countable infinite) family of closed convex subsets of \mathcal{H} such that $S = \bigcap_{i\in I} S_i \neq \emptyset$. Let $B: \operatorname{dom} B \subset \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ be a monotone and χ -Lipschitz continuous operator such that $C \subset \operatorname{dom} B$, and suppose that

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i \subset \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} B. \tag{4.4}$$

The problem is to

find
$$x \in S$$
 such that $(\forall y \in C)$ $F(x,y) + \langle Bx \mid y - x \rangle \ge 0.$ (4.5)

Problem 4.4 models a wide variety of problems including complementarity problems, optimization problems, feasibility problems, Nash equilibrium problems, variational inequalities, and fixed point problems [9, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24].

In the literature, there exist some splitting algorithms for solving the equilibrium problem

find
$$x \in C$$
 such that $(\forall y \in C)$ $F_1(x,y) + F_2(x,y) > 0$, (4.6)

where F_1 and F_2 satisfy Assumption 4.1. These methods take advantage of the properties of F_1 and F_2 separately. For instance, sequential and parallel splitting algorithms are proposed in [25], where the resolvents J_{F_1} and J_{F_2} are used. The ergodic convergence to a solution to (4.6) is established without additional assumptions. However, when $F_1 = F$ and F_2 : $(x, y) \mapsto \langle Bx \mid y - x \rangle$ we have $J_{F_2} = J_B = (\mathrm{Id} + B)^{-1}$ [20, Lemma 2.15(i)], which is often difficult to compute, even in the linear case. Moreover, the ergodic method proposed in [25] involves vanishing parameters that leads to numerical instabilities, which make it of limited use in applications. In [20, 26] a different approach is developed to overcome this disadvantage when B is cocoercive. In their methods, the operator B is computed explicitly and the weakly convergence to a solution to (4.5) when S = C is demonstrated.

In this section we propose the following non-ergodic algorithm for solving the general case considered in Problem 4.4. This approach can deal with errors in the computations of the operators involved. The convergence of the proposed method is a consequence of Theorem 2.2.

Algorithm 4.5 Let $(I_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of finite subsets of I, let $(e_{1,n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(e_{2,n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be sequences in \mathcal{H} such that $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|e_{1,n}\|<+\infty$ and $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|e_{2,n}\|<+\infty$, and let $(\delta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence in $]0,+\infty[$ such that $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\sqrt{\delta_n}<+\infty$. Let $\varepsilon\in]0,1/(\chi+1)[$, let $(\gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $[\varepsilon,(1-\varepsilon)/\chi]$, let $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\{\omega_{i,n}\}_{i\in I_n}\subset [\varepsilon,1]$ be such that, for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{i\in I_n}\omega_{i,n}=1$, let $x_0\in\mathrm{dom}\,B$, and let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence generated by the following routine

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \begin{cases} y_n = x_n - \gamma_n (Bx_n + e_{1,n}) \\ q_n \in J_{\gamma_n F}^{\delta_n} y_n \\ r_n = q_n - \gamma_n (Bq_n + e_{2,n}) \\ z_n = x_n - y_n + r_n \\ x_{n+1} = \sum_{i \in I_n} \omega_{i,n} P_{S_i} z_n. \end{cases}$$

$$(4.7)$$

Remark 4.6 In Algorithm 4.5, the sequences $(e_{1,n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(e_{2,n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ represent errors in the computation of the operator B. On the other hand, it follows from (4.7) and (4.3) that, for

every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, q_n is a solution to

find
$$q \in C$$
 such that $(\forall y \in C)$ $F(q, y) + \langle y - y_n \mid y - q \rangle \ge -\delta_n$. (4.8)

Thus, we obtain from (4.2) that q_n can be interpreted as an approximate computation of the resolvent $J_{\gamma_n F} y_n$.

Proposition 4.7 Suppose that there exist strictly positive integers $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ and N such that

$$(\forall (i,n) \in I \times \mathbb{N}) \quad i \in \bigcup_{k=n}^{n+M_i-1} I_k \quad and \quad 1 \le \operatorname{card} I_n \le N, \tag{4.9}$$

and that Problem 4.4 admits at least one solution. Then Algorithm 4.5 generates an infinite orbit $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ which converges weakly to a solution to Problem 4.4.

Proof. First, let us prove that Problem 4.4 is a particular case of Problem 1.1. Set

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \beta_n = \gamma_n \chi, \ T_n = J_{\gamma_n F}, \text{ and } R_n = \text{Id } -\gamma_n B.$$
 (4.10)

Note that $(\beta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $]0,1-\varepsilon]$ and, for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, T_n is firmly nonexpansive [20, Lemma 2.12] and $\mathrm{Id}-R_n=\gamma_n B$ is β_n -Lipschitz-continuous and monotone. Hence, it follows from [8, Theorem 1] that the operators $(R_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are pseudo contractive. In addition, we deduce from (4.2) and (4.10) that $(\forall n\in\mathbb{N})$ $x\in\mathrm{Fix}\ T_nR_n\Leftrightarrow (\forall n\in\mathbb{N})(\forall y\in C)\ \gamma_n F(x,y)+\langle x-R_nx\mid y-x\rangle\geq 0 \Leftrightarrow (\forall y\in C)\ F(x,y)+\langle Bx\mid y-x\rangle\geq 0$. Altogether, we deduce that Problem 4.4 is a particular case of Problem 1.1 and

$$Z = S \cap \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{Fix} T_n R_n = S \cap \left\{ x \in C \mid (\forall y \in C) \ F(x, y) + \langle Bx \mid y - x \rangle \ge 0 \right\} \neq \emptyset. \quad (4.11)$$

Now let us show that Algorithm 4.5 is deduced from Algorithm 2.1. Set

$$\begin{cases}
a_n = -\gamma_n e_{1,n} \\
b_n = q_n - J_{\gamma_n F} y_n \\
c_n = -\gamma_n e_{2,n}
\end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases}
\lambda_n = 1 \\
Q_n = \sum_{i \in I_n} \omega_{i,n} P_{S_i}.
\end{cases}$$
(4.12)

Then, since $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \gamma_n < \chi^{-1}$, we have $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|a_n\| < +\infty$ and $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|c_n\| < +\infty$. Moreover, it follows from (4.7) and Lemma (iv) that $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|b_n\| < +\infty$, and, for every $x\in\mathcal{H}$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$, Q_nx is the projection onto the closed affine half-space $H_n(x)=\{z\in\mathcal{H}\mid \langle z-Q_nx\mid x-Q_nx\rangle\leq 0\}$, which satisfies $S\subset\cap_{i\in I_n}S_i=\operatorname{Fix} Q_n\subset H_n(x)$ [9, Proposition 2.4]. On the other hand, we have $x_0\in\operatorname{dom} B$ and it follows from (4.4) and the convexity of int $\operatorname{dom} B$ [27, Theorem 27.1] that

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})$$
 ran $\left(\sum_{i \in I_n} \omega_{i,n} P_{S_i}\right) \subset \operatorname{conv}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I_n} S_i\right) \subset \operatorname{conv}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i\right) \subset \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} B.$ (4.13)

Hence, we conclude from (4.7) that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $x_n \in \text{int dom } B$. Moreover, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $q_n \in C \subset \text{dom } B$. Altogether, from (4.10) and (4.12), we deduce that Algorithm 4.5 is a particular case of Algorithm 2.1, which generates an infinite orbit $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Finally, let us show that (2.2) holds. Suppose that $x_{k_n} \rightharpoonup x$, $x_n - T_n R_n x_n \to 0$, $z_n - x_n \to 0$, and, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $p_n = T_n R_n x_n$. Hence, $p_{k_n} \rightharpoonup x$ and it follows from (4.10) and (4.2) that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$p_{n} = T_{n}R_{n}x_{n} \Leftrightarrow (\forall z \in C) \quad F(p_{n}, z) + \frac{1}{\gamma_{n}} \langle p_{n} - x_{n} \mid z - p_{n} \rangle + \langle Bx_{n} \mid z - p_{n} \rangle \geq 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\forall z \in C) \quad F(p_{n}, z) + \frac{1}{\gamma_{n}} \langle p_{n} - x_{n} \mid z - p_{n} \rangle$$

$$+ \langle Bx_{n} - Bp_{n} \mid z - p_{n} \rangle + \langle Bp_{n} \mid z - p_{n} \rangle \geq 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\forall z \in C) \quad G(p_{n}, z) + \frac{1}{\gamma_{n}} \langle p_{n} - x_{n} \mid z - p_{n} \rangle + \langle Bx_{n} - Bp_{n} \mid z - p_{n} \rangle \geq 0,$$

$$(4.14)$$

where

$$G: C^2 \to \mathbb{R}: (x, y) \mapsto F(x, y) + \langle Bx \mid y - x \rangle$$
 (4.15)

satisfies the Assumption 4.1 [20, Lemma 2.15(i)]. Moreover, since $\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\gamma_{k_n}>0$, $x_{k_n}-p_{k_n}\to 0$, and $(p_{k_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded, we have $(\forall z\in C)\ \langle p_{k_n}-x_{k_n}\mid z-p_{k_n}\rangle/\gamma_{k_n}\to 0$, and from the Lipschitz-continuity of B we obtain $(\forall z\in C)\ \langle Bx_{k_n}-Bp_{k_n}\mid z-p_{k_n}\rangle\to 0$. Hence, we deduce from $p_{k_n}\rightharpoonup x$, Assumption 4.1(iii), Assumption 4.1(ii), and (4.14) that

$$(\forall z \in C) \quad G(z, x) \leq \underline{\lim} G(z, p_{k_n})$$

$$\leq \underline{\lim} -G(p_{k_n}, z)$$

$$\leq \underline{\lim} \frac{1}{\gamma_{k_n}} \langle p_{k_n} - x_{k_n} \mid z - p_{k_n} \rangle + \langle Bx_{k_n} - Bp_{k_n} \mid z - p_{k_n} \rangle$$

$$= 0. \tag{4.16}$$

Now let $\varepsilon \in]0,1]$ and $y \in C$. By convexity of C we have $x_{\varepsilon} = (1-\varepsilon)x + \varepsilon y \in C$. Thus, Assumption 4.1(i), Assumption 4.1(iii), and (4.16) with $z = x_{\varepsilon}$ yield

$$0 = G(x_{\varepsilon}, x_{\varepsilon}) \le (1 - \varepsilon)G(x_{\varepsilon}, x) + \varepsilon G(x_{\varepsilon}, y) \le \varepsilon G(x_{\varepsilon}, y), \tag{4.17}$$

whence $G(x_{\varepsilon}, y) \geq 0$. In view of Assumption 4.1(iv), we conclude that $G(x, y) \geq \overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} G(x_{\varepsilon}, y) \geq 0$, which yields

$$(\forall y \in C) \quad G(x,y) = F(x,y) + \langle Bx \mid y - x \rangle \ge 0. \tag{4.18}$$

Now, let us prove that $x \in S$. Since $z_n - x_n \to 0$ and $z_n - Q_n z_n \to 0$, (4.12) yields

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad ||x_{n+1} - x_n|| = ||Q_n z_n - x_n|| \le ||Q_n z_n - z_n|| + ||z_n - x_n|| \to 0. \tag{4.19}$$

Now, fix $i \in I$. In view of (4.9), there exists a sequence $(j_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{N} such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_n \leq j_n \leq k_n + M_i - 1$ and $i \in I_{j_n}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows from (4.19) that

$$||x_{j_n} - x_{k_n}|| \le \sum_{\ell=k_n}^{k_n + M_i - 2} ||x_{\ell+1} - x_{\ell}|| \le (M_i - 1) \max_{k_n \le \ell \le k_n + M_i - 2} ||x_{\ell+1} - x_{\ell}|| \to 0.$$
 (4.20)

Thus, we deduce from $x_{k_n} \rightharpoonup x$ and $z_{j_n} - x_{j_n} \to 0$ that $z_{j_n} \rightharpoonup x$. On the other hand, let $z \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since, for every $\ell \in I_{j_n}$, $P_{S_\ell}z = z$, and $\mathrm{Id} - P_{S_\ell}$ is firmly nonexpansive,

from (4.7) and (4.12) we have

$$||P_{S_{i}}z_{j_{n}} - z_{j_{n}}||^{2} \leq \max_{\ell \in I_{j_{n}}} ||P_{S_{\ell}}z_{j_{n}} - z_{j_{n}}||^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\ell \in I_{j_{n}}} \omega_{\ell,j_{n}} ||P_{S_{\ell}}z_{j_{n}} - z_{j_{n}}||^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\ell \in I_{j_{n}}} \omega_{\ell,j_{n}} \langle z - z_{j_{n}} | (\operatorname{Id} - P_{S_{\ell}})z - (\operatorname{Id} - P_{S_{\ell}})z_{j_{n}} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle z - z_{j_{n}} | \sum_{\ell \in I_{j_{n}}} \omega_{\ell,j_{n}} P_{S_{\ell}} z_{j_{n}} - z_{j_{n}} \rangle$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} ||z - z_{j_{n}}|| ||Q_{j_{n}}z_{j_{n}} - z_{j_{n}}||. \tag{4.21}$$

Hence, since $(z_{j_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence and $Q_{j_n}z_{j_n}-z_{j_n}\to 0$, we deduce that $P_{S_i}z_{j_n}-z_{j_n}\to 0$. The maximally monotonicity of $\mathrm{Id}-P_{S_i}$ yields that its graph is sequentially weakly-strongly closed, and since $z_{j_n}\to x$, we conclude that $x=P_{S_i}x\in S_i$. Altogether, from (4.18) and (4.11) we deduce that $x\in Z$, and the result follows from Theorem 2.2. \square

Acknowledgement

I thank Professor Patrick L. Combettes for bringing this problem to my attention and for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] F.E. Browder, Convergence theorems for sequences of nonlinear operators in Banach spaces, Math. Z. 100 (1967) 201–225.
- [2] P.L. Combettes, Solving monotone inclusions via compositions of nonexpansive averaged operators, Optimization 53 (2004) 475–504.
- [3] R.E. Bruck Jr., A strongly convergent iterative solution of $0 \in U(x)$ for a maximal monotone operator U in Hilbert space, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 48 (1974) 114–126.
- [4] S. Ishikawa, Fixed points by a new iteration method, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 44 (1974) 147–150.
- [5] J. Schu, Approximating fixed points of Lipschitzian pseudocontractive mappings, Houston J. Math. 19 (1993) 107–115.
- [6] H. Zhou, Convergence theorems of fixed points for Lipschitz pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 343 (2008) 546–556.
- [7] P. Tseng, A modified forward-backward splitting method for maximal monotone mappings, SIAM
 J. Control Optim. 38 (2000) 431–446.
- [8] F.E. Browder, W.V. Petryshyn, Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert space, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 20 (1967) 197–228.
- [9] P.L. Combettes, Quasi-Fejérian analysis of some optimization algorithms, in: D. Butnariu, Y. Censor, S. Reich (Eds.), Inherently Parallel Algorithms for Feasibility and Optimization, Elsevier, New York, 2001, pp. 115–152.

- [10] H. Attouch, L.M. Briceño-Arias, P.L. Combettes, A parallel splitting method for coupled monotone inclusions, SIAM J. Control Optim. 48 (2010) 3246–3270.
- [11] P.L. Combettes, V.R. Wajs, Signal recovery by proximal forward-backward splitting, Multiscale Model. Simul. 4 (2005) 1168–1200.
- [12] B. Mercier, Inéquations Variationnelles de la Mécanique, Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay, no. 80.01, Université de Paris-Sud, Orsay, 1980.
- [13] P. Tseng, Further applications of a splitting algorithm to decomposition in variational inequalities and convex programming, Math. Programming 48 (1990) 249–263.
- [14] P. Tseng, Applications of a splitting algorithm to decomposition in convex programming and variational inequalities, SIAM J. Control Optim. 29 (1991) 119–138.
- [15] H.H. Bauschke, P.L. Combettes, Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2011.
- [16] D.P. Bertsekas, E.M. Gafni, Projection methods for variational inequalities with application to the traffic assignment problem, Math. Programming Stud. 17 (1982) 139–159.
- [17] S.C. Dafermos, S.C. McKelvey, Partitionable variational inequalities with applications to network and economic equilibria, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 73 (1992) 243–268.
- [18] F. Facchinei, J.-S. Pang, Finite-Dimensional Variational Inequalities and Complementarity Problems, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [19] M.V. Solodov, B.F. Svaiter, A hybrid approximate extragradient-proximal point algorithm using the enlargement of a maximal monotone operator, Set-Valued Anal. 7 (1999) 323–345.
- [20] P.L. Combettes, S.A. Hirstoaga, Equilibrium programming in Hilbert spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 6 (2005) 117–136.
- [21] H.H. Bauschke, J.M. Borwein, On projection algorithms for solving convex feasibility problems, SIAM Rev. 38 (1996) 367–426.
- [22] M. Bianchi, S. Schaible, Generalized monotone bifunctions and equilibrium problems, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 90 (1996) 31–43.
- [23] E. Blum, W. Oettli, From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems, Math. Student. 63 (1994) 123–145.
- [24] W. Oettli, A remark on vector-valued equilibria and generalized monotonicity, Acta Math. Vietnamica 22 (1997) 215–221.
- [25] A. Moudafi, On the convergence of splitting proximal methods for equilibrium problems in Hilbert spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 359 (2009) 508–513.
- [26] A. Moudafi, Mixed equilibrium problems: sensitivity analysis and algorithmic aspect, Comput. Math. Appl. 44 (2002) 1099–1108.
- [27] S. Simons, From Hahn-Banach to Monotonicity, Lecture Notes in Math. 1693, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2008.